## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/109,261	06/30/1998	GANG BAI	042390.P5769 3347	
7	7590 06/28/2004		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			WARREN, MATTHEW E	
SEVENTH FL			4071017	DADED MUMBED
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		2815		

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/109,261	BAI, GANG
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew E Warren	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI		
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-10,13-17,20 and 21</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·
10. Other:	100	n Thomas

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) TOM THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCAPTOR
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2555

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendments to the claims concerning the transistor being isolated from other devices by shallow trench structures will require further consideration and a new search.